

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 10/647,309	Applicant(s) HIDAKA ET AL.	
	Examiner Thomas A. Morrison	Art Unit 3653	

All Participants:

Status of Application: _____

(1) Thomas A. Morrison.

(3) _____

(2) Mr. Edward Tracy (Reg. No. 47,998).

(4) _____

Date of Interview: 15 September 2006

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

None

Claims discussed:

13-14 and 26

Prior art documents discussed:

None

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.



PATRICK MACKEY
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3600


(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Contacted Mr. Tracy (applicant's representative) on 9/15/2006 to get permission to cancel withdrawn claims 13-14 and 26 directed to a non-elected embodiment. During the telephone conversation, Mr. Tracy approved the cancelation of claims 13-14 and 26, as per the attached examiner's amendment.